FERTILISER ASSOCIATION OF IRELAND

Proceedings

Potassium in Irish Farming
Present and Future

PETER A. BLAGDEN and MICHAEL RYAN

8 ON

Fertiliser Association of Ireland

POTASSIUM IN IRISH FARMING PRESENT AND FUTURE

Peter A. Blagden and Michael Ryan

Published by The Fertiliser Association of Ireland, 59, Deerpark Road, Mount Merrion, Co. Dublin.

POTASSIUM IN IRISH FARMING - PRESENT AND FUTURE

(Paper presented at a meeting of the Fertiliser Association of Ireland on 19th May, 1972).

PETER A. BLAGDEN AND MICHAEL RYAN,

An Foras Taluntais, Johnstown Castle Research Centre,
Wexford.

The use of potassium fertilisers in Ireland was negligible before 1900, although nitrogen and phosphorus were well established by the end of the 19th century. During the first half of this century development was slow, but since 1945 there have been great increases in the use of all three major plant nutrients, and more K is now used than either N or P.

Table 1: Use of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium in Ireland since 1953

('000 tons)

	ľZ] Hel	I×
1953/4	12.0	23.7	30.5
1954/5	14.7	23.6	31.5
1955/6	13.6	24.3	32.2
1956/7	15.9	23.9	39.5
1957/8	18.0	27.0	43.5

Table 1 (contd)

	N	Hd	IX
1958/9	20.6	33.0	43.
1959/60	21.7	36.0	184
1960/61	24.6	35.0	55.0
1961/62	29.0	40 0	66.0
1962/63	33.0	48.0	7/, 0
1963/64	34.2	50 6	75.6
1964/65	29.1	48.8	75 1
1965/66	31.4	6 27	60 6
1966/67	47.0	л . л (01.6
1967/68	530	63.6	722.
1069 / 60		00.0	103.5
1968/69	63.0	68.4	109.8
1969/70	70.7	72.6	115.7
1970/71	84.6	77.8	123.0

However the result of the situation which existed for the first half of this century, when there was relatively large use of N and P but little K, was that in 1954 over 90% of soil samples analysed had less than 38 ppm K (Morgan's extract), and 66% had less than 25 ppm. The improvement since this time is illustrated by the virtual disappearance of samples in the lowest category.

Table 2 : Percent of Advisers' soil samples analysed with 0 - 24 ppm of K

Year

1954 66

' 57 32

158 20

'59 17

162

170

N

The situation, again reflected in analyses of soil samples, for 1970 was:

Table 3 : Analyses of advisers' soil samples 1970

ppm K	0-24	25-49	50-74	75-99	100-500
of samples	2	14	27	21	36

The improvement in the analyses figures only shows that soil fertility is responding to the increased amount of K being used. We have to turn to the Fertiliser Use Survey 1967 for a guide to how K fertilisers are being used, and whether crops are under or over fertilised.

Table 4: Rates of K used on tillage crops and grassland

7	70	SH	Нау	Fe e	S	7	Oa	Ka Wa	ਸ਼੍ਰ ਵਿ	哥哥	R R		
Rough grazing	Pasture	Silage	ГĀ	Reed roots	Sugar beet	otatoes	Oats	Malting barley	Reeding barley	heat	Crop		
								7	7				
9.3	25.9	39.0	29.0	85.5	336.1	103.1	39.4	41.5	48.9	56.1	(actual)	per acre	lb. K applied
2.0	35.1	87.4	66.1	96.2	100.0	93.2	86.2	99.1	98.3	97.5	Fertilised	Per cent	
Car and the last	30 - 80	100	100	50 - 150	300	100 - 200			30 - 50		rate lb/acre*	Recommended	

^{*} Source : "Fertiliser Manual" An Foras Taluntais 1970.

Table 4 indicates that K use on tillage crops is mainly satisfactory. Parts of the oats, potato and feed roots crops are underfertilised but there are probably economic factors involved. The tillage crops which pay best are generally given enough fertiliser (including K) and often too much.

The satisfactory picture for cereals results from the cereal growers' tendency to use high K compounds such as 10:10:20. These crops tend to be underfertilised with nitrogen.

The most serious examples of under-use of K are on grassland. A high proportion of grazing, hay and silage gets no K at all, and where it is used the rates are inadequate. A hay or silage cut will remove up to 150 lb. of K per acre.

The rates recommended in the "Fertiliser Manual" allow for a soil contribution of about 50 lb/acre. Modern practice makes much greater demands on soil reserves than traditional systems and must result in reduced fertility and productivity where a field is cut for several consecutive seasons. Where more than one cut is taken per year this depletion will occur much more quickly.

There are a number of developments in grassland management whose implications for the use of potassium fertilisers should now be considered.

Increased use of Nitrogen

The use of nitrogen to increase grass yields has been shown to increase K uptake and to deplete soil K. Under experimental cutting conditions, N responses have been greatly reduced where no K was applied and it has been suggested that the rate of K applied should be related to the N rate, e.g. 1 lb. of K per lb. of N, under continuous cutting.

Another factor to be considered is the effect of N on clover. In many cases, clover can be maintained in pastures receiving moderate quantities of N, provided that it is still able to get enough K. Because of the competition between grass and clover for K, pastures where clover is present need to be kept at a higher K status than those where it is absent.

Silage Making

A silage cut of about 10 tons/acre fresh weight, with 20% dry matter containing 2-3% K or higher, will remove 90-150 lb. of potassium per acre from the soil. There is no immediate return of K in urine, as with grazing, and areas cut for silage receive more nitrogen than pasture. (In the Fertiliser Use Survey 1967 the average N rate for silage was 35 lb/acre, and for pasture 12 lb/acre.) The increase in silage making will therefore tend to increase the K requirements for modern dairy farming.

Silage making is to a great extent replacing hay making A hay cut also makes great demands on soil K, although generally hay receives less nitrogen. The practice of cutting hay with little or no applied K in the past, must have been one of the main causes of low K levels in grassland soils.

Two-Sward Systems

The use of separate swards for grazing and cutting will eliminate the return of K by grazing livestock on one part of the farm and concentrate it on another. Other factors will probably vary between the two areas. The grazed area may get less fertiliser nitrogen and rely to some extent on clover nitrogen fixation.

Two-Sward Systems (contd)

Different seeds mixtures may be used for the two swards.

Obviously each sward will require a different K

fertiliser regime. This system results in an increase ir
effective stocking rate on the grazed area, which is
discussed under the next heading.

Increased stocking rates and closer grazing control

The increase in livestock units/acre and the more widespread use of paddocks and electric fencing to control grazing should improve the efficiency of the potassium cycle, and keep the K maintenance requirement for grazing to a fairly low level.

Cattle excrete up to 90% or more of the K ingested in herbage but, when voided on the sward, this is concentrated in small urine patches. For this return of K to be valuable a high proportion of the grazing area must be affected within a short period of time. Obviously this cannot happen at low stocking rates, and where cattle are allowed to range over relatively large areas. Increased stocking rates and controlled grazing improve the rate of ground cover by urine, and lead to more even distribution.

Application of slurry to grassland

Since livestock retain relatively little of the potassium they ingest, the return of slurry from winter-housed animals could replace much of the K removed by cutting for conservation. The figure quoted by J. Lee and S. Diamond (Farm and Food Research'March/April 1972) is 60 lb. of K during a 120 day winter by one cow. Most of the potassium, however, is contained in the urine and unfortunately many systems for the recovery and storage of slurry allow much of the liquid excreta to escape into drains.

Collins (1) has estimated that with the complete collection of slurry, as in slatted floor systems, a 'closed' K system could operate at high stocking rates If the return of slurry from feeding two silage cuts gives about 135 lb/acre of K, together with soil release of K this could be sufficient for optimum production.

Experimental Data - Grassland

Cutting trials on permanent grass were carried out at 27 sites, distributed over the major soil types, during the years 1967-1970. The effect of applied K on annual dry-matter yield and K-uptake is shown, for some of the soils, in Appendix 2.

Table 5 : The Effect of Applied K on Per Cent Yield

Table 5 summarises the effect of applied K on drymatter yield. The soils have been divided into two
groups according to the yield restriction in the zero-K
plots in four years' cutting. Those which gave the
greatest restriction in yield were the dry tillage

(Associations 6,8,9,20,22, General Soil Map)

whilst smaller restrictions were shown by the soils with heavier textures (13, 17, 24, 27).

Where no potassium was applied on the dry soils, yield was restricted to 87% of the maximum in the first year and had fallen to 55% in the fourth year. On the heavier texture soils, the corresponding figures are 93% falling to 82%. In view of this difference, it is interesting to note that the quantities of applied K required for maximum yield by the two groups are very similar.

The difference between the two groups when no K is applied is apparently due to the greater quantity of K supplied by the heavier textured soils (Table 5).

Table 6: Uptake of K where no K was applied (lb/acre)

The 'Fertiliser Manual' suggests that the soil can be relied on to replenish about 50 lb/acre of the K removed in a silage cut. These figures indicate that even the 'dry' soils should be able to provide this, if there is no more than one cut per year.

physically and chemically, and were subjected to a regime highly demanding of potassium (3-5 cuts per year + 200 lb/acre of N) it appears that their fertiliser K requirement for maximum yield is roughly constant at about 140-200 lb/acre. In practice, and in particular where there is a risk of hyponmagnesaemia, a smaller rate could be used on the wetter soils, with only a slight yield restriction. The wetter soils should also need less K with less demanding systems, such as grazing only and lower nitrogen rates.

Experimental Data - Tillage Crops

At 19 of the grassland experimental sites mentioned above two tillage rotations were carried on over the same period. The rotations and potassium treatments were as follows:

Table 7 : Potassium Rates (lb/K/acre)

-			K Le	Levels	
Year	Crop	0	1	2	ω
1967	Wheat	0	30	60	90
1968	Barley	0	30	60	90
1969	Swedes	0	60	120	180
1970	Potatoes	0	80	160	240
1967	Swedes	0	84	168	252
1968	Potatoes	0	84	168	252
1969	Wheat	0	20	40	60
1970	Barley	0	20	40	60

Table 8 gives the effect of K treatments on crop yields.

Each figure is the average of all sites, except that
data from some badly lodged cereal sites were ommitted.

Table 8: Crop Yields (cwt of grain or tons of roots per acre)

			K L	K Levels	
Year	Crop	0	* 1	2	ω
1967	Wheat	33.3	34.1	34.0	32.8
1968	Barlev	39.9	40.0	38. 1	38.1
1969	Swedes	19.6	21.2	21.5	22.1
1970	Potatoes	7.6	13.3	14.6	15.1
1967	Swedes	17.8	19.8	20.1	20.0
1968	Potatoes	10.7	18.1	20.9	22.7
1969	Wheat	39.4	42.5	43.7	43.1
1970	Barley	31.0	32.5	32.7	31.6

These figures show that generally there is little response to potassium in cereals, whilst potatoes are still very responsive. The crops were grown in rotation (as shown) on the same plots. Consequently the Zero-K plots would have become progressively more depleted during the experiment. In view of this the small K responses in Barley 1970 are surprising. It is also noteworthy that 60 lb K/acre depressed the yield of the cereals slightly when compared with 30 lb K/acre ('67/'68) or 40 lb/K/acre ('69/'70).

particular tillage soils (numbered according to the General Soil Map of Ireland). Table 9 gives the per cent responses of each crop on

Per cent response to K = Maximum Yield - Zero-K Yield X 100

Table 9 : Per cent response to K on different soils

	Swedes	Potatoes	Swedes	Potatoes
	1967	1968	1969	1970
6	14.7	49.4	16.8	47.4
00	11.3	48.4	16.7	53.4
20	22.3	71.5	18.8	63.0
22	31.9	38.5	14.0	54.0
24 Limerick	12.1	46.9	9.1	36.2
24 Meath	17.9	55.3	11.8	55.6
	Wheat	Barley	Wheat	Barlev
	1967	1968	1969	1970
6	2.5	1.4	11.2	1.8
Ö	4.9	3.0	8.7	8.9
20	5.7	3.4	20.5	42.9
22	4.3	2.2	18.7	5.7
24 Limerick	6.8	Ľ	ω	ယ
24 Meath	8.9	T	22.5	•

(L = Lodged)

better responses than those in Co. Limerick. crops (potatoes in particular). Although soil 24 was a better source of K for grassland this soil still gives good responses to K on tillage than the dry tillage soils, the figures above show that The Meath sites gave

> soil to give a significant response in barley in 1970. The most responsive soil was soil 20 which was the only

17, as the Athy complex. detail in "The Soils of Co. Carlow" Soil Survey Bulletin Midlands, Co. Carlow and Co. Kildare, and described in These are coarse-textured limestone gravels found in the

obtained in 1971, but since only one year's results are available they must be interpreted with caution. with C.S.E.T. Particularly good responses to K were series of experiments, being carried out in co-operation beet, is currently being obtained from a separate Information on another important tillage crop, sugar Table 10. The resulting effect on sugar yield is summarised in Potassium increased both root yield and sugar content.

Table 10: Effect of K on sugar yield (Cwt/acre) according to soil

0 62.9 7 200 65.2 7 400 63.7 7	1b/K/acre Soil 7 Soil 8 Soil 9 Soil 20 Soil 21 Soil 23
71.1 62.0 70.8 67.0 75.2 69.8	il 8 Soi
0 65.1 0 70.9 8 76.1	1 9 Soil
64.0 67.0 71.7	20 Soil 2
58.2 64.7 68.2	21 Soil 23

are obtained. areas where beet growing is concentrated and high yields The soils chosen for this study were predominant in (N rate 80 lb/acre; P rate 80 lb/acre; Na not used).

It is apparent, therefore, that although K applications on cereals now appear to be mainly necessary as an 'insurance' and to maintain fertility, root crops (particularly potatoes and sugar beet) continue to give good yield responses.

Residual Value of Potassium

Ten of the tillage sites referred to earlier were examined in 1971, to evaluate the residual value of the K treatments applied during the previous four years.

The results are summarised in the following table.

Table 11: Effect of residual and freshly applied K on yield of potatoes - tons/acre

-11	TT	11.7	TIL	470
12 7	11 8	11 q	 	763
12.1	11.7	11.2	10.4	416
11.7	10.7	9.8	8.4	208
11.8	10.1	9.0	6.1	0
300	150	75	0	(1b/acre)
/acre)	in 1971 (1b/acre)	K applied in	*	K applied 1967/1970
	sites)	(average of 10 sites)	(av	

These figures demonstrate the value of high fertility in obtaining maximum yield. They also suggest that a response to freshly-applied K can also be obtained even at high levels of fertility. It is notable that although

witholding K for 5 years restricted yield to about 48% of the maximum, residual K from the first four years could provide up to 89% of maximum in the fifth year. In general, both residual and freshly-applied K were required for maximum yield.

Conclusions

The authors believe that the use of potassium fertilisers in Ireland must continue to increase in the immediate future for the following reasons:

- 1. Grass, which is by far the most important and most extensive crop, is generally inadequately fertilised with K at present.
- 2. Grassland productivity will need to be increased if we are to take full advantage of our membership of E.E.C.
- 3. Silage making is likely to continue increasing in popularity for some time, since there is still considerable room for expansion in this area.

There are also a number of developments which can be expected to slow down this increase eventually. Of these, the setting up of more efficient systems for collecting dung and urine during overwintering of cattle seems to be particularly important.

Increases in stocking rates will tend to keep the K requirements of grazed areas down to relatively low 'maintenance' levels.

soils which may tend to offset the advantages which efficient cycling of potassium under grazing on these overwintering period. support a lower stocking rate and require a longer they have as suppliers of K. must be remembered that the former generally will has been said about "heavy" and "light" soils, requirements of different soils. soil properties other than K status can affect the on grassland, this paper has already indicated that depletion. some re-thinking on the subjects of 'maintenance' to make better use of soil K reserves while avoiding fertiliser subsidies in E.E.C., there may have to be economic pressures and bearing in mind the absence of are still recommended for cereal crops. No change is give good responses to K and 'maintenance' dressings Despite increases in fertility, root crops still levels, residual values and rationalising K applications foreseen here at present. With regard to the rationalisation of K-use These are obstacles to the However, in response to In considering what ı it

A great deal of future research is now needed so that the factors which influence potassium requirements can be quantified. For instance, we have suggested that there are differences between soils which are of practical importance. It is essential that studies of the K requirements of grassland should take into account potassium cycling under different soils, management systems and stocking rates. Such studies will have to be extended to marginal land if this continues to grow in importance under economic pressures.

It is concluded that potassium will continue to be an important factor in agricultural production, and will deserve a good deal of attention from the farmer, the adviser and research scientist.

	÷
The Potassium Institute,	The Potassium Cycle in G. D. P. Collins, 1970.
, Colloquium Proceedi	in Grassland Management,
ngs No. 1.	

- A Half Century of Fertiliser and Lime Use in Ireland,
 T. Walsh, P.F. Ryan and J. Kilroy, 1957.
 J. Soc. Statistical and Social Inquiry,
 Ireland, 19, 104.
- Fertiliser Use Survey 1967,
 W. E. Murphy and J. F. Heavey, An Foras Taluntais.
- 4. Fertiliser Manual 1970,
 An Foras Taluntais.
- The Potential of Irish Land for Livestock Production,
 J. Lee and S. Diamond, 1972,
 Farm and Food Research Vol. 3 No. 2.

27	24	23	22	20	17	13	9	8	6	6	Soil Assoc- iation*
Macamore	Elton	Not yet named	Not yet named	Athy Complex	Not yet named	Abbeyfeale	Not yet named	Clonroche	Screen	Borris	Series
Dense calcareous glacial mud of marine origin.	Carboniferous limestone glacial	Carboniferous limestone rock and glacial drift.	Carboniferous limestone glacial drift.	Carboniferous limestone morainic gravel.	Carboniferous/Ordovician Sandstone/Shale glacial till. (Wet Drumlin).	Upper Carboniferous Shale glacial till.	Old red sandstone glacial drift.	Ordovician or Silurian Shale glacial drift.	Morainic sand.	Granitic glacial drift.	Parent Material

^{*} See General Soil Map of Ireland.

Appendix II

Effect of K* on Grass D.M. Yield and K Uptake

13				ļ	22			2	20			c	00		Borris	c	7			Soil	
4	ω	2	н	4	w	2	٢	4	w	2	 -	4	w	2	Н	4	w	2	Н		Year
7090	8390	9310	7260	4050	5080	6220	6760	5850	5100	6810	7910	5270	6070	7320	8320	4400	6240	6910	8120	o	
7380	9390	10450	8080	7930	8230	8640	8240	7500	7930	9280	8960	8680	10080	9580	8950	7520	9750	10290	9420	Γ ₁	Yi lb/acre
8120	10840	10520	7720	8580	9760	9130	8340	8350	8990	9920	9230	10190	12050	10020	9530	9380	10770	10960	. 9500	K ₂	P
8370	10270	10230	8230	8770	9390	9350	8750	9220	9460	10010	9410	10550	11970	9940	9510	9080	11210	11180	9280	×3	
118	155	189	224	33	52	95	131	65	67	104	196	60	65	91	223	35	70	73	247	o	
168	212	280	283	108	143	172	246	116	123	204	302	116	164	212	317	95	126	205	365	K ₁	Upt. lb/acre
259	310	378	315	214	249	267	354	207	201	294	368	215	255	308	422	230	250	322	442	₹2	Uptake cre K
322	343	401	348	328	322	321	410	302	338	352	398	343	396	391	465	329	352	380	479	ω [™]	

contd ...

	12	. W	_	ω	100	2) <u> </u>	0	2	00	2	<u>Ф</u>	C	9		5	19	2	0	9						
		27			9				(6		Meath		24		Limerick	t	24			-	17			Soi1	
4	ω	2	ш	4	ω	2	Н	4	ω	2	_	4	w	2	1	1	ω	2	—	4	ω	2	Н		Year	
7778	7573	8284	7727	5925	8346	9100	7731	4640	5260	5458	7148	8550	8720	9490	9560	7970	8430	8470	7710	6600	7640	6810	6690	o		
9899	8382	8971	8652	8925	10536	10406	7921	7502	8786	7607	8133	9710	10050	9880	9940	9480	9890	9840	8320	8655	9350	6800	6110	\rac{1}{\sqrt{n}}	ည	4
9374	8402	8430	8372	9888	12164	10534	7702	7256	9402	8468	8461	10620	10780	10590	9850	9620	10400	10390	8120	7770	10030	7130	6260	~~ 2		
9335	8469	8664	8925	10870	12578	10762	8164	6187	8777	7327	7387	11240	11540	11290	10130	9710	10160	10750	8270	7740	9320	8000	6290	×3		
123	109	182	156	66	98	146	242	39	49	2	122	87	150	226	336	92	102	122	232	66	143	108	163	o ^K		
191	149	252	269	141	170	235	311	116	107	153	229	137	822	314	433	161	172	222	325	171	274	193	197	ļ,ř	Uptacre	
232	185	255	323	234	285	334	344	172	186	245	321	250	283	366	499	262	277	343	397	245	289	233	215	\×	Uptake cre K	
304	261	267	359	353	411	401	386	235	297	288	338	315	384	464	549	405	372	395	421	260	358	278	230	ω.⊼		

^{*} See Table 5 for potassium treatment levels.